## PATENT COOPERATION TREATY

rom the NTERNATIONAL PRELIMINARY EXA	MINING AUTHORITY		RECEIVE		
To: MICHAEL J. MALLIE BLAKELY, SOKOLOFF, TAYLOR, & ZAFMAN LLP 12400 WILSHIRE BOULEVARD 7TH FLOOR		perspec	NOV 13 2001  RITTEN OF INCOMP. TAYLOR & ZAFAA.  LOS ANGELES		
LOS ANGES CA 90025		STATUS DB-LA	(PCT Rule 66)		
		Date of Mailing (day/month/year)	09 NOV 2001		
Applicant's or agent's file reference		REPLY DUE within TWO months from the above date of mailing			
42390.P4740 International application No.	International filing dat	e (day/month/year)	Priority date (day/month/year)		
PCT/US01/01577	16 JANUARY 2001	ł	24 MARCH 2000		
International Patent Classification (IPC) IPC(7): Go6F 9/38, 9/50, 12/08 and	or both national classifd US Cl.: 709/107, 108	ication and IPC 8; 712/228			
Applicant INTEL CORPORATION					
1. This written opinion is the first	(first, etc.) d	rawn by this Internat	ional Preliminary Examining Authority.		
	•				
2. This opinion contains indications r	erating to the following				
I X Basis of the opinion					
II Priority			12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 - 12 -		
III Non-establishment o	of opinion with regard to	o novelty, inventive st	ep or industrial applicability		
IV Lack of unity of inv					
V X Reasoned statement citations and explan	under Rule 66.2(a)(ii) wi ations supporting such s	th regard to novelty, istatement	inventive step or industrial applicability;		
VI Certain documents	cited				
VII X Certain defects in the	he international applicati	ion			
VIII Certain observation	s on the international ap	oplication			
3. The applicant is hereby invited to	reply to this opinion.		6 th at time limit request this		
Authority to grant	When? See the time limit indicated above. The applicant may, before the expiration of that time limit, request this  Authority to grant an extension., see Rule 66.2(d).				
For the form and	By submitting a written reply, accompanied, where appropriate, by amendments, according to Rule 66.3. For the form and the language of the amendments, see Rules 66.8 and 66.9.				
For the examiner's	For an additional opportunity to submit amendments, see Rule 66.4.  For the examiner's obligation to consider amendments and/or arguments, see Rule 66.4 bis.  For an informal communication with the examiner, see Rule 66.6.  Ry is filed, the international preliminary examination report will be established on the basis of this opinion.				
1		nation report will be	established on the built of the pro-		
4. The final date by which the inter examination report must be estable	national preliminary olished according to Rul	e 69.2 is: 24 JULY 20			
Name and mailing address of the IPE	A/US	Authorized officer	Passy Harrod		
	A/US		Passy Harrod		

## WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US01/01577

I. Basi	is of th	e opinion		
1 With =	egard to	the elements of the interna	ional application:*	
	he inter	rnational application as	originally filed	
		eription:		
		1-25		, as originally filed
1	pages _	NONE		, incd with the demand
ŀ	nages _	NONE	, filed with the le	etter of
F	- 200			
1 22 1	he clai			, as originally filed
	pages _		as amended (to	gether with any statement) under Article 19
_	pages _	NONE		, filed with the demand
	pages _ pages _		, filed with the letter of	
1	pages _			
$\mathbf{x}$	the dra	wings:		· · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · · ·
	pages	1-15		, as originally filed
		NONE	er 1 (41 41 - 1-4	, filed with the demand
1	pages _	NONE	, filed with the let	ter of
		listing most of the	lacorintian:	
X	tne seq	uence listing part of the o		, as originally filed
		NONE		, filed with the demand
	pages .	NONE	, filed with the let	tter of
	the langular the langular or 55.3)	guage of publication of guage of the translation fur ).	the international application (undnished for the purposes of internation	cnational search (under Rule 23.1(b)).  der Rule 48.3(b)).  anal preliminary examination (under Rules 55.2 and/  the international application, the written opinion was
3. With	n regard vn on tl	ne basis of the sequence li	sting:	The manual series of the serie
	contair	ned in the international	application in printed form.	
	filed to	ogether with the interna-	ional application in computer re-	adable form.
			Authority in written form.	
H			Authority in computer readable	form.
	The et	atement that the subsequentional application as filed	ntly furnished written sequence lis	sting does not go beyond the disclosure in the
	The sta	atement that the information	n recorded in computer readable for	m is identical to the writen sequence listing has
4. X	The a	mendments have resulte	d in the cancellation of:	
4. [4]			NONE	
		the description, pages	NONE	
		the claims, Nos		
. —	<u> </u>	the drawings, sheets/fig	f (same of) the amandments had not	been made, since they have been considered to go
5.	beyon	nd the disclosure as filed, a	s indicated in the Supplemental Box	(Rule 70.2(C)).
* Rep	lacemen us opini	t sheets which have been fur on as "originally filed".	nished to the receiving Office in respon	nse to an invitation under Article 14 are referred to

## WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.
PCT/US01/01577

Reasoned statement under Rule 66.2(a citations and explanations supporting	04011		
statement			YES
Novelty (N)	Claims	6-11, 16-20, 24-28	NO NO
Novelly (11)	Claims	1-5, 12-15, 21-23, 29-30	
	Claims	6-11, 16-20, 24-28	YES
Inventive Step (IS)	Claims	1-5, 12-15, 21-23, 29-30	NO
	Chilling		
		1.60	YE
Industrial Applicability (IA)	Claims	1-30	NO
	Claims	none	
Claims 1-5, 12-15, 21-23 and 29-30 lack nov A1).  Sturges et al. disclosed a dedicatin second portion or way of a memory resource.	ig a first portion	n or way of a memory resource to a first thr	ead and a dedicating
Sturges et al. disclosed a dedicatin second portion or way of a memory resource demands of the first and second thread, and line 20 - page 7, line 43).	ng a first portion to a second to loading the instantant	n or way of a memory resource to a first thread and dynamically sharing a third portion or mation according to allowable access given PCT Article \$3(2)-(4), because the prior art	ead and a dedicating on based on resource the threads (page 2
Sturges et al. disclosed a dedicatin second portion or way of a memory resource demands of the first and second thread, and line 20 - page 7, line 43).  Claims 6-11, 16-20, and 24-28 meet the crifairly suggest the application of LRU on the	ng a first portion to a second to a second to loading the instance of the second to be a second to be a second to be a second partition of the cache partition of the cache partition of the second to be a second to be	n or way of a memory resource to a first thread and dynamically sharing a third portion or mation according to allowable access given PCT Article \$3(2)-(4), because the prior art	ead and a dedicating on based on resource the threads (page 2,
Sturges et al. disclosed a dedicatin second portion or way of a memory resource demands of the first and second thread, and line 20 - page 7, line 43).	ng a first portion to a second to a second to loading the instance of the second to be a second to be a second to be a second partition of the cache partition of the cache partition of the second to be a second to be	n or way of a memory resource to a first thread and dynamically sharing a third portion or mation according to allowable access given PCT Article \$3(2)-(4), because the prior art	ead and a dedicating on based on resource the threads (page 2,
Sturges et al. disclosed a dedicatin second portion or way of a memory resource demands of the first and second thread, and line 20 - page 7, line 43).  Claims 6-11, 16-20, and 24-28 meet the crifairly suggest the application of LRU on the	ng a first portion to a second to a second to loading the instance of the second to be a second to be a second to be a second partition of the cache partition of the cache partition of the second to be a second to be	n or way of a memory resource to a first thread and dynamically sharing a third portion or mation according to allowable access given PCT Article \$3(2)-(4), because the prior art	ead and a dedicating on based on resource the threads (page 2,
Sturges et al. disclosed a dedicatin second portion or way of a memory resource demands of the first and second thread, and line 20 - page 7, line 43).  Claims 6-11, 16-20, and 24-28 meet the crifairly suggest the application of LRU on the	ng a first portion to a second to a second to loading the instance of the second to be a second to be a second to be a second partition of the cache partition of the cache partition of the second to be a second to be	n or way of a memory resource to a first thread and dynamically sharing a third portion or mation according to allowable access given PCT Article \$3(2)-(4), because the prior art	ead and a dedicating on based on resource the threads (page 2,
Sturges et al. disclosed a dedicatin second portion or way of a memory resource demands of the first and second thread, and line 20 - page 7, line 43).  Claims 6-11, 16-20, and 24-28 meet the crifairly suggest the application of LRU on the	ng a first portion to a second to a second to loading the instance of the second to be a second to be a second to be a second partition of the cache partition of the cache partition of the second to be a second to be	n or way of a memory resource to a first thread and dynamically sharing a third portion or mation according to allowable access given PCT Article \$3(2)-(4), because the prior art	ead and a dedicating on based on resource the threads (page 2,
Sturges et al. disclosed a dedicatin second portion or way of a memory resource demands of the first and second thread, and line 20 - page 7, line 43).  Claims 6-11, 16-20, and 24-28 meet the crifairly suggest the application of LRU on the	ng a first portion to a second to a second to loading the instance of the second to be a second to be a second to be a second partition of the cache partition of the cache partition of the second to be a second to be	n or way of a memory resource to a first thread and dynamically sharing a third portion or mation according to allowable access given PCT Article \$3(2)-(4), because the prior art	ead and a dedicating on based on resource the threads (page 2,
Sturges et al. disclosed a dedicatin second portion or way of a memory resource demands of the first and second thread, and line 20 - page 7, line 43).  Claims 6-11, 16-20, and 24-28 meet the crifairly suggest the application of LRU on the	ng a first portion to a second to a second to loading the instance of the second to be a second to be a second to be a second partition of the cache partition of the cache partition of the second to be a second to be	n or way of a memory resource to a first thread and dynamically sharing a third portion or mation according to allowable access given PCT Article \$3(2)-(4), because the prior art	ead and a dedicating on based on resource the threads (page 2,
Sturges et al. disclosed a dedicatin second portion or way of a memory resource demands of the first and second thread, and line 20 - page 7, line 43).  Claims 6-11, 16-20, and 24-28 meet the crifairly suggest the application of LRU on the	ng a first portion to a second to a second to loading the instance of the cache partition o	n or way of a memory resource to a first thread and dynamically sharing a third portion or mation according to allowable access given PCT Article \$3(2)-(4), because the prior art	ead and a dedicating on based on resource the threads (page 2,
Sturges et al. disclosed a dedicatin second portion or way of a memory resource demands of the first and second thread, and line 20 - page 7, line 43).  Claims 6-11, 16-20, and 24-28 meet the crifairly suggest the application of LRU on the	ng a first portion to a second to a second to loading the instance of the cache partition o	n or way of a memory resource to a first thread and dynamically sharing a third portion or mation according to allowable access given PCT Article \$3(2)-(4), because the prior art	ead and a dedicating on based on resource the threads (page 2,
Sturges et al. disclosed a dedicatin second portion or way of a memory resource demands of the first and second thread, and line 20 - page 7, line 43).  Claims 6-11, 16-20, and 24-28 meet the crifairly suggest the application of LRU on the	ng a first portion to a second to a second to loading the instance of the cache partition o	n or way of a memory resource to a first thread and dynamically sharing a third portion or mation according to allowable access given PCT Article \$3(2)-(4), because the prior art	ead and a dedicating on based on resource the threads (page 2,

## WRITTEN OPINION

International application No.

PCT/US01/01577

Supplemental Box (To be used when the space in any of the preceding boxes is not sufficient)						
Continuation of: Boxes I - VIII	Sheet 10					
TIME LIMIT:  The time limit set for response to a Written Opinion may not be extended. 37 CFR 1.484(d). Any response received after the expiration of the time limit set in the Written Opinion will not be considered in preparing the International Preliminary Examination Report.						